

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/540,238		06/22/2005	Atsushi Mikado	36858.1349	1613	
54066	7590	09/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		FACTURING COM	KWOK, I	KWOK, HELEN C		
C/O KEAT 8180 GREE		NNETT, LLP DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 850			2856	<u> </u>		
MCLEAN,	VA 221	02	DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/540,238	MIKADO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Helen C. Kwok	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Augu</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters,	•			
Disposition	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 7-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5)  Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date			

Art Unit: 2856

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

2. Claims 7-12 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-14 of U.S. copending Application 10/540,239 (Mikado et al. - U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0081048) in view of U.S. copending Application 10/540,240 (Mikado).

Mikado et al. '239 discloses an acceleration sensor claiming all of the features as presently claimed in the instant Application except for claim 12. Mikado '240 discloses an acceleration sensor claiming the features as presently claim in the instant Application along with the lacking feature of claim 12 of the instant Application (this is claimed in claim 14 of the Mikado '240 application).

3. Claims 7-12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-14 of copending Application No. 10/540,240 (Mikado) in view of U.S. copending Application 10/540,239 (Mikado et al. – U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0081048).

Mikado et al. '240 discloses an acceleration sensor claiming all of the features as presently claimed in the instant Application except for the feature of "each of the first and second resonators is attached to the base plate such that the opposite main surfaces having the electrodes disposed thereon are substantially perpendicular to the

Application/Control Number: 10/540,238 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

application direction of acceleration". Mikado '239 discloses an acceleration sensor claiming the features as presently claim in the instant Application along with the lacking feature as mentioned above in claim 8.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 29, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The terminal disclaimer filed on August 29, 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of any patent granted on Application Number 10/540,239 and Application Number 10/540,240 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

The Application Number/Patent being disclaimed has been improperly identified because Application Number 10/540,239 should be referred in the terminal disclaimer filed on August 29, 2006 instead of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0081048 to identify the application being disclaimed. Please provide a proper terminal disclaimer identifying *Application Number 10/540,239* and *Application Number 10/540,240* to over the above double patenting rejections.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,238

Art Unit: 2856

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen C. Kwok whose telephone number is (571) 272-2197. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2856

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helen C. Kwok Art Unit 2856

hck September 6, 2006